

From: Gnance@TechLawInc.com
Sent: 2/8/2012 1:13:37 PM

To: "Fred Foreman" <Foreman.Fred@epamail.epa.gov>; "Richard Rupert" <Rupert.Richard@epamail.epa.gov>
CC: "Cindy White" <White.Cindy@epamail.epa.gov>; "Cynthia Metzger" <Metzger.Cynthia@epamail.epa.gov>;
"Cynthia Caporale" <Caporale.Cynthia@epamail.epa.gov>; "Graves, Suddha"
<Sgraves@TechLawInc.com>; "Whitlock, Kimberly" <kwhitlock@TechLawInc.com>; "MacDonald, Nikki"
<nmacdonald@TechLawInc.com>; "Dellamia, Scout" <SDellamia@TechLawInc.com>; "John Kwedar"
<kwedar.john@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Dimock: Number of samples for Rad analysis

Fred, Rupert:
Attached is the revised analytical request I submitted last week to increase the number of samples.
This estimate was based on a total of ~ 60 residences to be sampled, two samples per residence, 12
duplicates, and one field blank per day (estimated 20). I'm not sure if this estimate is still valid.

Gene Nance
TechLaw, Inc.
740.867.0968 (office)
304.830.1442 (mobile)

From: Fred Foreman [mailto:Foreman.Fred@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2012 12:52 PM
To: Richard Rupert
Cc: Cindy White; Nance, Gene; Cynthia Metzger; Cynthia Caporale
Subject: Dimock: Number of samples for Rad analysis

Rich,
Cindy White from NAREL has expressed a concern about the final number of samples expected to be
received for RAD analysis. The projected number was approximately 71 samples, with approximately
52 having been received to-date. Is the final number going to exceed the original 71 samples
projected? If so, Cindy needs to know to make scheduling adjustments in her lab.

Fred
Fred Foreman, Chief
Technical Services Branch
Office of Analytical Services & Quality Assurance
US EPA Region III
Ft. Meade, Maryland

410-305-2629 - CT5865-R33910_Rev01.doc